

Homework 10 - Inference2

* If there is any problem, please contact TA.

Name:----- Student ID:----- Email: -----

Problem 1. Prove the Lemma: If $(S, q) \rightarrow (S', q')$ then:

- T is complete for (S, q) iff T is complete for (S', q')
- T is principal for (S, q) iff T is principal for (S', q')

Proof.

$$\frac{}{S| - \{\}} \quad (\text{S-empty})$$

$$\frac{S(a) = S(b) \quad S| = q}{S| = \{a = b\} \cup q} \quad (\text{S-equal})$$

Lemma 1. If $T(m) = T(n), T| = q$, then $T| = q[n/m]$

Proof. Prove: By induction on the derivation of $S| = q$

case S-empty: obviously

case S-equal: If $m=a$ or $m=b$ else (Here we skip the proof steps)

And it's easy to prove the inversion lemma is also right, which is

If $T(m) = T(n), T| = q[n/m]$, then $T| = q$

□

Lemma 2. If $T(a) = T(s), T \leq S$, then $T \leq [a = s] \circ S$

Proof. Prove: Suppose $T = U \circ S$

Let $S' = U \circ [a = s] \circ S$, for all variables x

If $x \neq a$, $T(x) = U(S(x))$, $S'(x) = U(S(x)) = T(x)$

If $x = a$,

if $a \in \text{dom}(S)$, $S'(a) = U(S(a)) = T(a)$.

if $a \notin \text{dom}(S)$, $S'(a) = U([a=s](S(a))) = U([a=s](a)) = U(s)$

if $s \notin \text{dom}(S)$, $T(a) = T(s) = U(S(s)) = U(s) = S'(a)$

if $s \in \text{dom}(S)$ $T(a) = T(s) = U(S(s))$, let $S' = U \circ [s = S(s)] \circ [a = s] \circ S$,

$S'(a) = U(S(s)) = T(a)$

So $T = S'$. Because $S' \leq [a = s] \circ S$, so $T \leq [a = s] \circ S$

□

Now back to the problem.

By induction on the derivation of unification step

- **Case:** $\frac{}{(S, \{\text{int} = \text{int}\} \cup q) \rightarrow (S, q)} (\text{u-int})$

Need to prove: T is complete for $(S, \{\text{int} = \text{int}\} \cup q)$ iff T is complete for (S, q)

a) \rightarrow

- (1) T is complete for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq S$,
 $T| = \{int = int\} \cup q$ (by (1))
- (3) $T| = q$ (by (2) and inversion of $S - equal$)
- (4) T is complete for (S, q) (by (2) and (3))

b) \leftarrow

- (1) T is complete for (S, q) (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq S$,
 $T| = q$ (by (1))
- (3) $T(int) = T(int)$
- (4) $T| = \{int = int\} \cup q$ (by (2), (3) and $S - equal$)
- (5) T is complete for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by (2) and (4))

Need to prove: T is principal for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ iff T is principal for (S', q')

a) \rightarrow

- (1) T is principal for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by assumption)
- (2) T is complete for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by (1))
- (3) T is complete for (S', q') (by (2))
- (4) For any complete solution T' for (S', q') ,
 T' is complete for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$
- (5) $T' \leq T$ (by (1))
- (6) T is principal for (S', q') (by (3) and (5))

b) \leftarrow

- (1) T is principal for (S', q') (by assumption)
- (2) T is complete for (S', q') (by (1))
- (3) T is complete for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by (2))
- (4) For any complete solution T' for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$,
 T' is complete for (S', q')
- (5) $T' \leq T$ (by (1))
- (6) T is principal for $(S, \{int = int\} \cup q)$ (by (3) and (5))

• **Case:** $\overline{(S, \{bool = bool\} \cup q) \rightarrow (S, q)}$ (**u-bool**)

Similar to u-int.

- **Case:** $\overline{(S, \{a=a\} \cup q) \rightarrow (S, q)}$ (**u-eq**)

Similar to u-int.

- **Case:** $\overline{(S, \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q) \rightarrow (S, \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q)}$ (**u-fun**)

Need to prove: T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q)$ iff T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q)$

a) \rightarrow

- (1) T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q)$ (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq S$,
 $T| = \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q$ (by (1))
- (3) $T(s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12}) = T(s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22})$
 $\rightarrow T(s_{11}) \rightarrow T(s_{12}) = T(s_{21}) \rightarrow T(s_{22})$ (by (2) and inversion of S – equal)
- (4) $T(s_{11}) = T(s_{21}), T(s_{12}) = T(s_{22})$ (by (3))
- (5) $T| = \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q$ (by (4) and S – equal)
- (6) T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q)$ (by (2) and (5))

b) \leftarrow

- (1) T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q)$ (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq S$,
 $T| = \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q$ (by (1))
- (3) $T| = q$
 $T(s_{11}) = T(s_{21})$
 $T(s_{12}) = T(s_{22})$ (by (2) and inversion of S – equal)
- (4) $T(s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12}) = T(s_{11}) \rightarrow T(s_{12})$
 $= T(s_{21}) \rightarrow T(s_{22}) = T(s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22})$ (by (3))
- (5) $T| = \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q$ (by (3), (4) and S – equal)
- (6) T is complete for $(S, \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q)$ (by (2) and (5))

Need to prove: T is principal for $(S, \{s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} = s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22}\} \cup q)$ iff T is principal for $(S, \{s_{11} = s_{21}, s_{12} = s_{22}\} \cup q)$

Similar to u-int.

- **Case:** $\overline{(S, \{a=s\} \cup q) \rightarrow ([a=s] \circ S, q[s/a])}$ (**a not in FV(s)**)(**u-var1**)

Need to prove: T is complete for $(S, \{a = s\} \cup q)$ iff T is complete for $([a = s] \circ S, q[s/a])$

a) \rightarrow

- (1) T is complete for $(S, \{a = s\} \cup q)$ (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq S$,
 $T| = \{a = s\} \cup q$ (by (1))
- (3) $T(a) = T(s)$
 $T| = q$ (by (2) and inversion of S – equal)
- (4) $T| = q[s/a]$ (by (3) and lemma1)
- (5) $T \leq [a = s] \circ S$ (by (2), (3) and lemma2)
- (6) T is complete for $([a = s] \circ S, q[s/a])$ (by (4) and (5))

b) \leftarrow

- (1) T is complete for $([a = s] \circ S, q[s/a])$ (by assumption)
- (2) $T \leq [a = s] \circ S$,
 $T| = q[s/a]$ (by (1))
- (3) $T = U \circ [a = s] \circ S \leq S$ (by (2))
- (4) $a \notin \text{dom}(S), s \notin \text{dom}(S)$
- (5) $T(a) = T(s)$ (by (4))
- (6) $T| = q$ (by (2), (5) and inversion of lemma1)
- (7) $T| = \{a = s\} \cup q$ (by (5), (6) and S – equal)
- (7) T is complete for $(S, \{a = s\} \cup q)$ (by (3) and (6))

Need to prove: T is principal for $(S, \{a = s\} \cup q)$ iff T is principal for $([a = s] \circ S, q[s/a])$

Similar to u-int.

- **Case:** $\overline{(S, \{s=a\} \cup q) \rightarrow ([a=s] \circ S, q[s/a])}$ (**a not in FV(s)**)(u-var2)

Similar to u-var2

□

Problem 2. Given the following variant of untyped lambda calculus:

```
e ::=
x (variables)
| c (constants)
| \x.e
| e1 e2
| e1 bop e2 (binary op)
| uop e (unary op)
| let x = e1 in e2
```

```

| if e1 then e2 else e3
| letfun f(x) = e1 in e2 (defining a recursive function f(x) for use in e2)
| {e1, e2}
| e.1
| e.2
| inl e
| inr e
| case e1 of inl x => e2 | inr x => e3
| nil
| e1 :: e2
| case e1 of nil => e2 | x1 :: x2 => e3
| (e)

```

(a) Inductively define the constraint generation judgement:

$$G \vdash u \Rightarrow e:t, q$$

(b) Give the detailed derivation of the following expressions and obtain the set of equations, then solve these equations to get the principle solution and give the universal polymorphic types:

```

letfun sum(l) = case l of nil => 0 | x1 :: x2 => x1 + sum(x2)
in sum(12::10::0::nil)

```

(a) *Solution.*

$$\frac{G(x) = t}{G \vdash x \Rightarrow x : t, \{ \}} \quad (CT - Var)$$

$$\frac{}{G \vdash c \Rightarrow c : int, \{ \}} \quad (c \text{ is integer}) \quad (CT - Int)$$

$$\frac{}{G \vdash c \Rightarrow c : bool, \{ \}} \quad (c \text{ is true or false}) \quad (CT - Bool)$$

$$\frac{G, x : t_1 \vdash t \Rightarrow t : t_2, q}{G, \lambda x : t_1. t : t_1 \rightarrow a, q \cup \{ a = t_2 \}} \quad (CT - Abs)$$

$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash u_1 u_2 \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 : a, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \{t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow a\}}$	(CT - App)
$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash u_1 \text{ bop } u_2 \Rightarrow e_1 \text{ bop } e_2 : a, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \{t_1 = t_2 = a\}}$	(CT - Bop)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t, q}{G \vdash \text{uop } u \Rightarrow \text{uop } e : a, q \cup \{t = a\}}$	(CT - Uop)
$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash \text{let } x = u_1 \text{ in } u_2 \Rightarrow \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : a, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \{t_2 = a\}}$	(CT - Let)
$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash \text{if } u_1 \text{ then } u_2 \text{ else } u_3 \Rightarrow \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : a, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup q_3 \cup \{t_1 = \text{bool}, t_2 = t_3 = a\}}$	(CT - If)
$\frac{G, f : a \rightarrow b, x : a \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G, f(x) : b \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash \text{letfun } f(x) = u_1 \text{ in } u_2 \Rightarrow \text{letfun } f(x : a) : b = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : c, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup q_3 \cup \{t_1 = b, t_2 = c\}}$	(CT - Letfun)
$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t_1, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t_2, q_2}{G \vdash \{u_1, u_2\} \Rightarrow \{e_1, e_2\} : a * b, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \{t_1 = a, t_2 = b\}}$	(CT - Pair)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow \{e_1, e_2\} : t_1 * t_2, q}{G \vdash u.1 \Rightarrow e_1 : a, q \cup \{t_1 = a\}}$	(CT - Proj1)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow \{e_1, e_2\} : t_1 * t_2, q}{G \vdash u.2 \Rightarrow e_2 : a, q \cup \{t_2 = a\}}$	(CT - Proj2)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t, q}{G \vdash \text{inl}[a + b] u \Rightarrow \text{inl}[a + b] e : a + b, q \cup \{t = a\}}$	(CT - Inl)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t, q}{G \vdash \text{inr}[a + b] u \Rightarrow \text{inr}[a + b] e : a + b, q \cup \{t = b\}}$	(CT - Inr)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t_1 + t_2, q_1 \quad G, x_1 : t_1 \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t, q_2 \quad G, x_2 : t_2 \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t, q_3}{G \vdash (\text{case } u \text{ of } \text{inl } x_1 \Rightarrow u_1 \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow u_2) \Rightarrow (\text{case } e : a + b \text{ of } \text{inl } x_1 \Rightarrow e_1 \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow e_2) : c, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup q_3 \cup \{t_1 = a, t_2 = b, t = c\}}$	(CT - Case)
$\frac{}{G \vdash u \Rightarrow \text{nil}[t] : t \text{ list},}$	(CT - Ni)
$\frac{G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t \text{ list}, q_2}{G \vdash u_1 :: u_2 \Rightarrow e_1 :: e_2 : a, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \{a = t \text{ list}\}}$	(CT - Cons)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t_1 \text{ list}, q_1 \quad G \vdash u_1 \Rightarrow e_1 : t, q_2 \quad G, x_1 : t, x_2 : t_1 \text{ list} \vdash u_2 \Rightarrow e_2 : t, q_3}{G \vdash (\text{case } u \text{ of } \text{nil}[a] \Rightarrow u_1 x_1 :: x_2 \Rightarrow u_2) \Rightarrow (\text{case } e : a \text{ list of } \text{nil}[a] \Rightarrow e_1 x_1 :: x_2 \Rightarrow e_2) : b, q_1 \cup q_2 \cup q_3 \cup \{t_1 = a, t = b\}}$	(CT - Casel)
$\frac{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : t, q}{G \vdash u \Rightarrow e : \text{unit}, q \cup \{t = \text{unit}\}}$	(CT - Unit)

□

(b) `letfun sum(l) = case l of nil => 0 | x1 :: x2 => x1 + sum(x2)`
`in sum(12::10::0::nil)`

Solution.

derivation:

$(\text{letfun } \text{sum}(l : a) = \text{case } l \text{ of nil} : b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 0 \mid x1 : c :: x2 : d \Rightarrow x1 + \text{sum}(x2) : d \rightarrow e$
 $\text{in } \text{sum}(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: \text{nil}), \{\})$

(by CT-Bop)

$\rightarrow (\text{letfun } \text{sum}(l : a) = \text{case } l \text{ of nil} : b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 0 \mid x1 : c :: x2 : d \Rightarrow (x1 + \text{sum}(x2)) : f$
 $\text{in } \text{sum}(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: \text{nil}), \{d = a, c = e, f = c\}$

(by CT-Casel)

$\rightarrow (\text{letfun } \text{sum}(l : a) = (\text{case } l \text{ of nil list} \Rightarrow 0 \mid x1 :: x2 \Rightarrow x1 + \text{sum}(x2)) : g$
 $\text{in } \text{sum}(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: \text{nil}) : e,$
 $\{d = a, c = e, f = c, a = b \text{ list}, c = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g\}$

(by CT-Letfun)

$\rightarrow ((\text{letfun } \text{sum}(l : a) = (\text{case } l \text{ of nil list} \Rightarrow 0 \mid x1 :: x2 \Rightarrow x1 + \text{sum}(x2)) : g$
 $\text{in } \text{sum}(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: \text{nil}) : e)h,$
 $\{d = a, c = e, f = c, a = b \text{ list}, c = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e\}$

(by CT-Cons)

$\rightarrow (\text{letfun } \text{sum}(l : a) = (\text{case } l \text{ of nil list} \Rightarrow 0 \mid x1 :: x2 \Rightarrow x1 + \text{sum}(x2)) : g$
 $\text{in } \text{sum}(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: \text{nil}) : e,$
 $\{d = a, c = e, f = c, a = b \text{ list}, c = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, d = \text{int list}\}$

solve constraint set:

$(I, \{d = a, c = e, f = c, a = b \text{ list}, c = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, d = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([d = a] \circ I, \{c = e, f = c, a = b \text{ list}, c = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, a = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{f = e, a = b \text{ list}, e = \text{int}, f = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, a = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{a = b \text{ list}, e = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, a = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([a = b \text{ list}] \circ [f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{e = \text{int}, \text{int} = g, g = e, h = e, b \text{ list} = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([e = \text{int}] \circ [a = b \text{ list}] \circ [f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{\text{int} = g, h = \text{int}, b \text{ list} = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([g = \text{int}] \circ [e = \text{int}] \circ [a = b \text{ list}] \circ [f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{h = \text{int}, b \text{ list} = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([h = \text{int}] \circ [g = \text{int}] \circ [e = \text{int}] \circ [a = b \text{ list}] \circ [f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{b \text{ list} = \text{int list}\})$
 $\rightarrow ([b = \text{int}] \circ [h = \text{int}] \circ [g = \text{int}] \circ [e = \text{int}] \circ [a = b \text{ list}] \circ [f = e] \circ [c = e] \circ [d = a] \circ I, \{\})$

pincipal solution: $S(b)=S(c)=S(e)=S(f)=S(g)=S(h)\text{int}, S(d)=S(a)\text{int list}$

universal polymorphic types:

```
let fun sum(l : int list) : int = case l of nil : int list => 0 | x1 : int :: x2 : int => x1 + sum(x2)
in sum(12 :: 10 :: 0 :: nil)
: int
```

□

Problem 3. Show why type checking let expression using [t-LetPoly] is exponential in time and give an amortised linear implementation of let polymorphism instead.

Solution. Suppose the length of the input term e_0 is n . e_0 is a let expression like $let\ x = e_1\ in\ x\ x\ x\ x\dots$ and $e_1 = let\ x = e_2\ in\ x\ x\ x\dots$. The length of e_1 is $n/2$. Repeat this step so that e_1, e_2, e_3 have the same formulations as e_0 . In this case the time complexity is $O(n/2) * O(n/4) * O(n/8)\dots = O(n^{\log n})$, which is exponential.

We can solve $let\ x = e_1\ in\ e_2$ in this way:

1. Once we get the principal type t_1 of e_1 , we don't bind it with x in context Γ . We find all free variables in t_1 . Suppose they are x_1, \dots, x_n . Now we bind x with a special type scheme $\forall x_1 \dots x_n. t_1$.
2. We do typecheck for e_2 . Each time we encounter an occurrence of x in e_2 , we generate type variables y_1, \dots, y_n and use them to instantiate $\forall x_1 \dots x_n. t_1$, yielding $t_1[y_1/x_1, \dots, y_n/x_n]$

□