

# Homework 5

**Student Number:**

**Name:**

**Problem 1.** (25 points) Sketch the frequency-ordered postings for the data in Table 1.

	Doc1	Doc1	Doc3
car	27	4	24
auto	3	33	0
insurance	0	33	29
best	14	0	17

Table 1: tf values for documents.

**Problem 2.** (25 points) Let the static quality scores for Doc1, Doc2 and Doc3 in Table 2 be respectively 0.25, 0.5 and 1. Sketch the postings for impact ordering when each postings list is ordered by the sum of the static quality score and the Euclidean normalized  $tf$  values in Table 2.

	Doc1	Doc2	Doc3
car	0.88	0.09	0.58
auto	0.10	0.71	0
insurance	0	0.71	0.70
best	0.46	0	0.41

Table 2: Euclidean normalized tf values for documents.

**Problem 3.** (25 points) Explain how the common global ordering by  $g(d)$  values in all high and low lists helps make the score computation efficient.

**Problem 4.** (25 points) When discussing champion lists, we simply used the  $r$  documents with the largest  $tf$  values to create the champion list for  $t$ . But when considering global champion lists, we used  $idf$  as well, identifying documents with the largest values of  $g(d) + tf - idf_{t,d}$ . Why do we differentiate between these two cases?