

# Approximations for Steiner Tree Problem

Chihao Zhang

BASICS, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

January 7, 2013

# Steiner Tree: Problem Statement

*Input:* Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an edge cost  $c_e \geq 0$  for each  $e \in E$ .  $V$  is partitioned into two sets, *terminals* and *Steiner vertices*.

*Problem:* Find a minimum cost tree in  $G$  that contains all the terminals and any subset of the Steiner vertices.

# MST Based Algorithm

- If  $G$  is a complete graph and the costs satisfy *the triangle inequality*, i.e.,

$$\text{cost}(u, v) \leq \text{cost}(u, w) + \text{cost}(w, v),$$

then we call it **metric Steiner tree problem**.

## Theorem

*There is an 2-approximation algorithm for metric Steiner tree problem.*

## MST Based Algorithm (cont'd)

- The algorithm simply returns **the minimum spanning tree** on terminal vertices.
- To see it is a 2-approximation algorithm, observe that we can obtain a MST of terminals from optimal solution of Steiner tree problem by doubling its cost using triangular inequality.

### Theorem

*There is an **approximation factor preserving reduction** from the Steiner tree problem to the metric Steiner tree problem.*

# Steiner Forest Problem

*Input:* Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , nonnegative costs  $c_e \geq 0$  for all edges  $e \in E$  and  $k$  pairs of vertices  $s_i, t_i \in V$ .

*Problem:* Find a minimum cost subset of edges  $F \subseteq E$  such that every  $s_i$ - $t_i$  pair is connected in the set of selected edges.

# Integer Program Linear Program Relaxation

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq 1, \quad \forall S \subseteq V : S \in \mathcal{S}_i \text{ for some } i, \\ & && x_e \in \{0, 1\} x_e \geq 0, \quad e \in E. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_i := \{S \subseteq V \mid |S \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1\}$  and  
 $\delta(S) := \{e = \{u, v\} \in E \mid u \in S, v \notin S\}$

# The Dual Program

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \sum_{S \subseteq V: \exists i, S \in \mathcal{S}_i} y_S \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_{S: e \in \delta S} y_S \leq c_e, \quad \forall e \in E, \\ & && y_S \geq 0, \quad \exists i : S \in \mathcal{S}_i \end{aligned}$$

# Standard Primal-Dual Schema

1.  $y \leftarrow 0$
2.  $F \leftarrow \emptyset$
3. **while** not all  $s_i$ - $t_i$  pairs are connected in  $(V, F)$  **do**
  - 3.1 Let  $C$  be a connected component of  $(V, F)$  such that  $|C \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1$  for some  $i$
  - 3.2 Increase  $y_C$  until there is an edges  $e' \in \delta(C)$  such that  $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_i: e' \in \delta(S)} y_S = c_{e'}$
  - 3.3  $F \leftarrow F \cup \{e'\}$
4. **return**  $F$



## Standard Primal-Dual Schema (cont'd)

- Using the standard primal-dual analysis, we have

$$\sum_{e \in F} c_e = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| y_S.$$

- However,  $|\delta(S) \cap F|$  can be as large as  $k!$
- We will use an average case analysis instead of worst case analysis.

# Primal-Dual Schema with Synchronization

1.  $y \leftarrow 0$
2.  $F \leftarrow \emptyset$
3. **while** not all  $s_i-t_i$  pairs are connected in  $(V, F)$  **do**
  - 3.1 Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all connected components  $C$  of  $(V, F)$  such that  $|C \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1$  for some  $i$
  - 3.2 Increase  $y_C$  for all  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  uniformly until for some  $e_\ell \in \delta(C')$ ,  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $c_{e_\ell} = \sum_{S: e_\ell \in \delta(S)} y_S$
  - 3.3  $F \leftarrow F \cup \{e_\ell\}$
4.  $F' \leftarrow \{e \in F \mid F \setminus \{e\} \text{ is primal infeasible}\}$
5. **return**  $F'$

# Analysis

Using standard primal-dual analysis, we have

$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| y_S.$$

We will show that

$$\sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| y_S \leq 2 \sum_S y_S$$

This follows from the following lemma:

## Lemma

*For any  $\mathcal{C}$  in any iteration of the algorithm,*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \leq 2|\mathcal{C}|$$

## Analysis (cont'd)

### Proof.

The high-level idea is the following: If in each iteration, we contract every component into a single vertex, then  $F'$  is a forest in the contracted graph. Then we apply the fact that the average degree of vertices in a forest is at most 2.

# Prize-collecting Steiner Tree Problem

*Input:* An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an edge cost  $c_e \geq 0$  for each  $e \in E$ , a selected **root vertex**  $r \in V$ , and a penalty  $\pi_i \geq 0$  for each  $i \in V$ .

*Problem:* Find a tree  $T$  that contains the root vertex  $r$  so as to minimize the cost of the edges in the tree plus the penalties of all vertices not in the tree

- A generalization of Steiner Tree Problem, where  $\pi_i = \infty$  if  $i$  is **terminal** and  $\pi_i = 0$  otherwise.

# Integer Program Linear Program Relaxation

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e + \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i (1 - y_i) \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_{e \in \delta S} x_e \geq y_i, && \forall S \subseteq V - r, S \neq \emptyset, \forall i \in S, \\ & && y_r = 1, \\ & && y_i \in \{0, 1\} y_i \geq 0, && \forall i \in V, \\ & && x_e \in \{0, 1\} x_e \geq 0, && \forall e \in E. \end{aligned}$$

## Digression: Ellipsoid Method

### Definition (Separation Oracle)

A **separation oracle** takes as input a solution  $x$  and either verifies that  $x$  is a feasible solution or produces a constraint that is violated by  $x$ .

- Provided a **polynomial-time** separation oracle, the **ellipsoid method** can solve a linear program in polynomial time.

# Polynomial-time Separation Oracle

The following algorithm is a polynomial-time separation oracle for our linear program relaxation of prize-collecting Steiner tree problem:

Given a solution  $(x, y)$ ,

1. Construct a network flow problem on  $G$  in which the capacity of each edge  $e$  is  $x_e$ .
2. For each  $i \in V$ 
  - 2.1 If the maximum flow from  $i$  to  $r$  is less than  $y_i$ 
    - 2.1.1 Return the constraint  $(S, i)$  where  $S$  is the **minimum cut** from  $i$  to  $r$
3. Return “ $(x, y)$  is a feasible solution”.



# Deterministic Rounding

1. Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  be a parameter to be fixed.
2.  $U := \{i \in V \mid y_i \geq \alpha\}$ .
3. Find a Steiner tree  $T$  on  $G$  with terminals  $U$ .
4. Return  $T$ .

## Lemma

*The tree  $T$  returned by the primal-dual algorithm for Steiner tree has cost*

$$\sum_{e \in T} c_e \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e^*.$$

## Proof.

Oberseve that if  $\{x_e^*, y_i^*\}$  is a solution of LP for prize-collecting Steiner tree problem, then  $\{\frac{x_e^*}{\alpha}\}$  is a solution of LP for Steiner tree problem.

## Deterministic Rounding (cont'd)

### Lemma

$$\sum_{i \in V \setminus V(T)} \pi_i \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i (1 - y_i^*)$$

### Theorem

*The cost of the solution produced by the deterministic rounding algorithm is*

$$\sum_{e \in T} c_e + \sum_{i \in V \setminus V(T)} \pi_i \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e^* + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i (1 - y_i^*).$$

Taking  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ , the algorithm is a 3-approximation algorithm for the prize-collecting Steiner tree problem.

# Randomized Rounding

- Let  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  be a constant to be fixed. Choose  $\alpha$  uniformly from  $[\gamma, 1]$ .

Lemma

$$E \left[ \sum_{e \in T} c_e \right] \leq \left( \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e^*$$

Lemma

$$E \left[ \sum_{i \in V \setminus V(T)} \pi_i \right] \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i (1 - y_i^*)$$

## Randomized Rounding (cont'd)

### Theorem

The expected cost of the solution produced by the randomized algorithms is

$$E \left[ \sum_{e \in T} c_e + \sum_{i \in V \setminus V(T)} \pi_i \right] \leq \left( \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e^* + \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i (1 - y_i^*).$$

- Choosing  $\gamma = e^{-1/2}$  gives a  $(1 - e^{-1/2})^{-1}$ -approximation algorithm for the prize-collecting Steiner tree problem, where  $(1 - e^{-1/2})^{-1} \approx 2.54$ .
- This algorithm can be easily **derandomized**.